



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,722	12/10/2004	Peter Neu	00143-00244-US	6059
23416 7590 11/10/2009 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899				
EXAMINER ARNOLD, ERNST V				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/10/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/517,722</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) NEU ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner ERNST V. ARNOLD</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1616</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/517,722	Applicant(s) NEU ET AL.	Examiner ERNST V. ARNOLD	Art Unit 1616
Application No. 10/517,722	Applicant(s) NEU ET AL.				
Examiner ERNST V. ARNOLD	Art Unit 1616				

All Participants:

(1) ERNST V. ARNOLD.

(2) Harold Pezzner.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

After expiration of the six month statutory period, the Examiner contacted Harold Pezzner on 10/26/09 for a status update on the case and his assistant Shirley Brooks left a voicemail that the case was abandoned.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ernst V Arnold/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)